

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 WENDELL COLEMAN,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 FERNANDEZ, et al.,
11 Defendants.
12
13
14

15 Case No. 21-cv-00539-SI
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE.
CONTEMPLATED DISMISSAL**

Wendell Coleman, an inmate at the Napa County Jail, filed this *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He also has applied to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The action is now before the court for consideration of Coleman's *in forma pauperis* application.

A prisoner may not bring a civil action *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 "if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Section 1915(g) requires that this court consider prisoner actions dismissed before, as well as after, the statute's 1996 enactment. *Tierney v. Kupers*, 128 F.3d 1310, 1311-12 (9th Cir. 1997).

1 For purposes of a dismissal that may be counted under § 1915(g), the phrase “fails to state a
2 claim on which relief may be granted” parallels the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
3 12(b)(6) and carries the same interpretation, the word “frivolous” refers to a case that is “‘of little
4 weight or importance: having no basis in law or fact,’” and the word “malicious” refers to a case
5 “filed with the ‘intention or desire to harm another.’” *Andrews v. King*, 398 F.3d 1113, 1121 (9th
6 Cir. 2005) (citation omitted). Only cases within one of these three categories can be counted as
7 strikes for § 1915(g) purposes, so the mere fact that Coleman has filed many cases in the federal
8 courts does not warrant dismissal under § 1915(g). *See id.* Rather, dismissal of an action under
9 § 1915(g) should only occur when, “after careful evaluation of the order dismissing an [earlier]
10 action, and other relevant information, the district court determines that the action was dismissed
11 because it was frivolous, malicious or failed to state a claim.” *Id.*

12 *Andrews* requires that the prisoner be given notice of the potential applicability of § 1915(g),
13 by either the district court or the defendants, but also requires the prisoner to bear the ultimate burden
14 of persuasion that § 1915(g) does not bar pauper status for him. *Id.* at 1120. *Andrews* implicitly
15 allows the court to sua sponte raise the § 1915(g) problem, but requires the court to notify the
16 prisoner of the earlier dismissals it considers to support a § 1915(g) dismissal and allow the prisoner
17 an opportunity to be heard on the matter before dismissing the action. *See id.* A dismissal under
18 § 1915(g) means that a prisoner cannot proceed with his action as a pauper under § 1915(g), but he
19 still may pursue his claims if he pays the full filing fee at the outset of the action.

20 A review of the dismissal orders in Coleman’s prior prisoner actions reveals that he has had
21 at least three such cases dismissed on the grounds that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to
22 state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The qualifying cases include: (1) *Coleman v.*
23 *Nguyen*, No. 18-cv-3468 SI (dismissed for failure to state a claim); (2) *Coleman v. Napa County*
24 *Dep’t of Corrections*, No. 18-cv-4104 SI (dismissed for failure to state a claim); and (3) *Coleman v.*
25 *Alison*, No. 19-cv-964 SI (dismissed for failure to state a claim).

26 In light of these dismissals, and because it does not appear that he was under imminent
27 danger of serious physical injury when he filed this action, Coleman is ORDERED TO SHOW
28 CAUSE in writing within **thirty days** of the date of this order, why his *in forma pauperis* application

1 should not be denied and this action should not be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In the
2 alternative to showing cause why the actions should not be dismissed, Coleman may avoid dismissal
3 by paying the full \$400.00 filing fee by the same deadline.

4

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: February 11, 2021

7



8 SUSAN ILLSTON
9 United States District Judge

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28